### Salisbury Highways Matters – Progress report

#### Highway Maintenance, Improvements and Repairs

	Question	Answer	Progress
1	Why hasn't there been adequate maintenance of the road and pavement surface in Castle Street, Salisbury, which lead to it becoming dangerous to users in December 2023 opposite Zizzi's Restaurant? What is the cost to the Council for a resident being injured or going to A&E?	This location has been identified for patching treatment but due to the need for a road closure and overnight working is now included in the 2024/25 programme. In the meantime, reactive repairs are being undertaken to keep the area safe. Safety of pedestrians and drivers is the first the priority for determining all interventions; we do have limited resources, however we continue to prioritise those on the higher safety concerns.	
2	When is the pedestrian crossing in Netherhampton Road, between its junction with Upper St and its junction with Montague Road to be completed?	The provision of a crossing at this location is being funded via the S106 agreement from the Netherhampton North development. The funding in the S106 agreement for this development only becomes available to the Council following occupation of the 50 properties at the site. As such it will be some time before the crossing is provided.	
3	When are the arrows to be correctly marked in the right-hand lane from the College roundabout to the Exeter Street roundabout so that drivers (who are not local) can use this lane to turn left (it is already marked A354) and reduce the queues in the left-hand lane?	All of the road marking in the vicinity of Exeter Street Roundabout will be updated at part of the proposed improvements being developed for Exeter Street Roundabout and Harnham Gyratory.	
4	When will the council complete satisfactory repairs or better still, resurface work to roads that have faced delamination? I have pressed highways for a very long time to repair Wiltshire Rd (a bus route) which had very large ruts in the road. I was then promised	Delamination typically occurs where veneer surfacing strips away? from the underlying carriageway. Defects are generally shallow and present issues regarding ride quality rather than safety. It follows that roads with deterioration to a greater extent will take priority when being considered for maintenance schemes. The Wiltshire Highways Maintenance Programme 2023/24 – 2029/30, which is published on the council website, includes a section that details proposed works for the	

	repairs, but when	Salisbury Area Board. Currently Wiltshire Rd	
	contractors turned up	does not feature on this, however, there are	
	to do the work,	periodic reviews to make sure that the sites	
	advance signs had not	identified for works reflect the correct priority.	
	-	dentined for works reflect the correct phonty.	
	been placed to warn		
	residents to move their		
	vehicles and the work		
	was aborted.		
	The repairs were		
	rescheduled for some		
	weeks later, but this		
	time, bollards were not		
	put out and just		
	dumped in a pile. There		
	was also an issue with		
	the machine that day		
	and the job was not		
	completed.		
	I lobbied again to get		
	the works done and		
	was given another date		
	and asked to alert		
	residents. It was just as		
	well that I did not, as		
	they failed to turn up.		
	Residents are now fed		
	up and have asked if		
	the work will in fact		
	ever get done? Need		
	further clarity on		
	budgets for 2024/25		
	and what is going to be		
	spent on Salisbury, not		
	Wiltshire.		
5		The footways in Eisbarton Street are being	
5	Walking around the	The footways in Fisherton Street are being widened where possible and continuous	
	city centre is an increasingly challenging	footways are being provided across the side	
	experience for anyone	road junctions to give pedestrians greater	
	with a mobility issue	priority and make the routes along Fisherton	
	and particularly for	Street easier to traverse. However, the Council	
	those with rollators or	still needs to ensure that suitable vehicle	
	other mobility aids. The	movements can take place along Fisherton	
	poor state of	Street as it is the main vehicular access/egress	
	pavements and	route to the west of the city. More generally, the	
	dropped kerbs can be	Council's ambitions for providing an improved	
	hazardous and in key	network for pedestrians (and cyclists) can be	
	shopping streets and	view in its Local Cycling and Walking	
	pedestrian	Infrastructure Plans (LCWIP) for Salisbury which	
	thoroughfares such as	can be viewed here -	
	Catherine Street,	https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/article/1723/Local-	
	Winchester Street and	Cycling-and-Walking-Infrastructure-Plans-	
	Fisherton Street in	LCWIPs	
	particular, the		
	footways are so narrow		
	in places that it is		
II			

1			
	impossible for a		
	rollator or a mobility		
	scooter to pass a		
	pedestrian, let alone		
	another scooter or		
	rollator, without one of		
	them having to take to		
	the road. Does		
	Wiltshire Highways		
	have plans to improve		
	the level of		
	maintenance on		
	footways and to widen		
	them sufficiently to		
	improve accessibility,		
	even at the expense of		
	on street parking? It is		
	disappointing that the		
	opportunity to widen		
	footways has largely		
	been ignored in the		
	current Fisherton		
	Street improvement		
	scheme where the		
	priority appears to be		
	in favour of motorists		
	rather than pedestrians		
	on this key pedestrian		
	thoroughfare between		
	the station and city		
	centre.		
6	In June 2023 the	The updated proposed maintenance programme	Area Board has
	Highways Capital	for 2024/25 onwards has been circulated to Area	requestedthe detailed in
			,
	program was presented	Boards for local comment and feedback. We will	vear proaramme.
			year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance	look to accommodate minor changes requested	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether those suggestion have	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether those suggestion have been taken into	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether those suggestion have been taken into consideration?	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether those suggestion have been taken into consideration? As part of the above I	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether those suggestion have been taken into consideration? As part of the above I requested that forecast	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether those suggestion have been taken into consideration? As part of the above I requested that forecast dates for	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether those suggestion have been taken into consideration? As part of the above I requested that forecast dates for commencement of	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether those suggestion have been taken into consideration? As part of the above I requested that forecast dates for commencement of works would be	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether those suggestion have been taken into consideration? As part of the above I requested that forecast dates for commencement of	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.
	to the AB along with the future maintenance / works program to 2030. At that meeting it was suggested that if ClIrs had a different view then they should provide any amendments, which they did. Can Highways please advise whether those suggestion have been taken into consideration? As part of the above I requested that forecast dates for commencement of works would be	look to accommodate minor changes requested by Area Boards using the £10m additional budget allocated by cabinet for 2024/25 and 2024/26. The forward programme is broken down into financial years. The detailed in year programme will be available once discussion with Contractors has taken place and road space	year programme.

	is this possible and if not why not?		
7	Within LHFIG there has been significant discussion on pedestrian crossings, specifically, outside the One-Stop shop on the Netherhampton Road in Harnham. One of the issues around a request to upgrade the crossing to a "Pelican" is the cost, somewhere between £100K and £150K. Given the site is already in use and has power, please detail and justify the expense?	Whilst there is a power supply at the site the provision of a signal-controlled crossing would require significant changes at the site in question. Cost would be incurred around the TRO process to change the type of crossing, the provision of the traffic signals poles and traffic signal heads, the traffic signal controller (which controls the operation of the signals), the need to install new ducting across the road (required to ensure that the signals operate correctly), the need to relocate existing lighting columns as traffic signal heads cannot legally be co-located on a street light in the way that Belisha Beacon can, and the need to resurface the road to ensure the complete removal of the existing zebra crossing markings. So, although there is a power supply at the site every other aspect of providing a signalled controlled facility needs to be put in place.	
9	When is Downton Road footway to be resurfaced?	The works will be undertaken once the Coach Park refurbishment works have been completed. Coaches are currently using the Downton Road P&R site and this area is required for the compound to support the footway works.	
10	Gullies across the city have been blocked for a number of years, causing flooding in the city. The gullies need more than a cycle of cleaning. Can we have a commitment that the gullies that are silted up will be cleaned in next years budget?	Gullies are cleaned on a regular cycle with priority given to A roads and known 'hot spots' for carriageway flooding. There is a commitment by Wiltshire Council with an additional £1m investment awarded by cabinet to invest in machinery to enhance gully cleaning in terms of need. The teams will look into preventative work and further communication with land owners to make sure they meet their responsibilities.	
11	Can blocked storm drains be emptied as part of a regular maintenance else there will be a major flood event?	Reports should be submitted via the MyWilts app when anyone sees a blocked storm drain. This will trigger an inspection and appropriate remedial action. A comprehensive review of all gullies is currently underway to review their condition and how often they are emptied. This review will help us to ensure a more targeted approach to gully emptying in the future.	

# Congestion and speeding

	Question	Answer	Progress
1	It is a fact that Salisbury	The local highway authority has a statutory duty	
	roads regularly suffer	to respond to consultations on planning	
	gridlock when one of the	applications within a prescribed period. The	
	arteries (A30, A36, A345,	extent to which applications can be conditioned	
	A354) is blocked	or refused is also prescribed by statute.	
	(accident, traffic lights,		
	train derail etc). One	'Local knowledge' can contribute significantly to	
	road blocks and inevitably	the highway authority's understanding of context	
	the blockages spread; at	when considering specific proposals, and	
	the wrong time of day the	regularly has a material influence on how	
	gridlock across the City is	applications are determined.	
	formed rapidly.		
	Accidents have blocked		
	the roads because of		
	poor Highways planning		
	decisions eg the access		
	for Aldi on the A30.		
	Roadworks have also blocked the A30 due a		
	repeated lack of consideration of		
	sequencing traffic lights placed close to another		
	set of permanent lights		
	(eg A30 Crematorium		
	lights). Planning		
	applications with a		
	Highways		
	impact are invariably		
	cleared by Highways		
	before the local council		
	with local knowledge is		
	able to raise an objection		
	– by which time it is too		
	late. I have asked on		
	many occasions why		
	there is a lack of joined		
	up thinking with WC		
	Highways but nobody		
	wants to answer. Road		
	condition, traffic flow,		
	road safety and air quality		
	are inextricably linked but		
	this appears to be		
	ignored. The residents		
	know the budget is		
	limited, can we please		
	have someone looking at the bigger picture to		
	reduce these continuing		
	problems – and perhaps		
	save money in the long		
	term?		
			I

2	I live in New Street (right	Traffic movements along New Street will return	
	in the centre of Salisbury)	to more typical levels upon completion of the	
	where the high volume of	Fisherton Gateway works in the summer of this	
	traffic in rush hours has	year and two traffic flow returns to Fisherton	
	increased significantly	Street.	
	since the one-way system		
	in Fisherton Street came	Blue Badge Holders are legally permitted to park	
	into effect to allow the	on double yellows for up to three hours by	
	road development works	displaying their Blue Badge. If Blue Badge Holders	
	there to commence. The	are parking for longer than this, please raise this	
	result in New Street has	matter with Parking Services	
	been stationary traffic in	(parking@wiltshire.gov.uk) who will arrange	
	both directions in rush	targeted enforcement of the double yellow lines	
	hours with all the air	to address this matter.	
	pollution which the		
	running engines cause. I	With respect to Point A of the 20mph speed limit	
	wipe my front door every	query, as per the Traffic Sign Regulations and	
	week and will send you	General Directions 2016 (which governs the	
	the paper towels used so	provision of signs and road markings in England	
	that you can analyse the	and in what situations they may be used) 20mph	
	amount of pollutants -	speed restrictions are introduced in two ways.	
	, petrol, diesel,oil and	The first is a 20mph speed <b>limit.</b> With this	
	rubber that now fills the	approach repeater signs should be provided	
	air. The traffic runs more	every 200 metres. The second is a 20mph <b>zone</b> .	
	smoothly the rest of the	With this approach repeater signs are not	
	, time with the exception	permitted to be provided because the zone	
	of the regular jams	should control traffic speed through the provision	
	caused by people without	of traffic calming features, the physical layout of	
	disabled permits parking	the road network, the volume of traffic or a	
	on the double yellow	combination of all three.	
	lines with impunity.		
	<ul> <li>When will the traffic</li> </ul>	Within the ring road, a 20mph zone approach	
	problems of New Street	was taken in November 1999 so there aren't	
	be improved?	20mph repeater signs present within the city	
	~ Also, when will the	centre. It might be possible to provide some	
	Police do something	additional carriageway roundels at targeted	
	about the many vehicles	locations where there is a recorded speeding	
	(mostly 4x4s, BMWs and	problem. To ascertain if a speeding problem	
	transit vans from my	exists a traffic survey will need to be undertaken;	
	visual survey) which	to progress this an application form available	
	break the city centre zone	here	
	20ph speed restriction	(https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/article/6149/Local-	
	which obviously applies	Highway-and-Footway-Improvement-Groups)	
	to New Street?	should be submitted to Salisbury CC for initial	
	~ Regarding the 20mph	consideration.	
	limit which applies to all		
	roads bounded by the	In addition, if there are locations within the city	
	three stretches of the	centre 20mph zone limit where there is a	
	Ring Road and Crane	recorded speeding problem It would also be	
	Bridge Road:	possible for Salisbury City Council to deploy their	
	a) when will Wiltshire	Speed Indicator Devices to highlight to motorists	
	Council paint more	where they are travelling in excess of the speed	
	repeater 20mph signs on	limit.	
	the roads to remind		
	motorists that it applies?	Speeding enforcement is a matter for the police	
	Most people are still	who will typically act if speeds are 10% + 2mph	
	driving at 30mph on	over the limit. The traffic survey will help	
		over the mint. The traine survey will help	

	Castle Street and Brown Street which is risky because pedestrians,	understand this. With respect to Point B of the 20mph speed limit query, this is a matter for the Police to address and as such the originator of	
	bikes etc are often only visible at the last minute due to the many areas of parallel parking blocking drivers' sightlines and b) when will the Police deter cars and motorbikes (mostly driven by young men when I see them) going down Brown Street in the evenings at speeds in excess of 40mph, despite the traffic lights? I've seen some of them go around the inner city centre loop and do it several times within 10 minutes! It's not a racetrack.	the query should raise the matter directly with them.	
3	Rampart Road congestion: there have been numerous incidents of parked vehicles on Rampart Road being damaged by passing traffic. A one-way system has been proposed by residents but rejected by Wiltshire Highways. As this issue has become more severe in light of increasing traffic volumes on the A36, can Wiltshire Highways outline viable solutions to avoid more damage/potential collisions on Rampart Road?	Please refer to the Southampton Rd Study	Update requested for 6 <sup>th</sup> June Area Board meeting
4	There's a lot of apathy in Salisbury about these meetings because we're constantly ignored by Our Wiltshire and Highways and feel very much the poor relation compared to everywhere else in the county, so what's the point in attending. We've needed a bypass/relief road for	The Strategic Road network (A303/A36) is managed by National Highways not Wiltshire Council. There are no current plans for a Salisbury bypass, however National Highways have just completed a strategic study acknowledging poor connectivity between the M4 and the Dorset Coast, which will be influential in determining future investment priorities. We expect to learn more shortly and will share any implications for Salisbury.	

			1
	years yet you keep		
	building on (inappropriate		
	flood plain!) land that		
	could have been used for		
	a bypass. It needs		
	resurrecting NOW!		
	Until the bypass is		
	delivered, Salisbury is		
	increasingly a no go area		
	of constant gridlock,		
	notably College		
	Roundabout (give us a		
	flyover or underpass for		
	traffic going to		
	Bournemouth and give		
	back the missing box		
	junction- not that it		
	helped much!), Castle		
	Road and the roundabout		
	are a disaster, Harnham		
	gyratory is a mess, St		
	Paul's right hand lane is a		
	mess, you can't even		
	repair the broken traffic		
	light on Castle Road		
	roundabout, it's been		
	smashed for weeks!		
	We're sick to the back		
	teeth of inaction or lack		
	of care/interest in the		
	south of the county. The		
	queues through the city		
	are getting worse and		
	worse and the action		
	from Wiltshire and		
	Highways is less and less.		
	Deeply despondent!!		
	Deeply despondent!!		
5	How are we going to work	Wiltshire Council is a permitting authority, which	
5		· - ·	
	better together, including	means that anyone occupying the highway has to	
	Wiltshire Council, City	apply for a permit from the Network	
	Council, National	Management Team. Charges are levied at those	
	Highways and utility	occupying the highway without a permit or in	
	companies to make sure	breach of the permit.	
	we mitigate any		
	roadworks/utility		
	works/3rd party works		
	that cause gridlock in the		
	city?		
	/ -		ı]

# **Flooding**

	Question	Answer	Progress
1	Road flooding is an increasing problem as we have more periods of concentrated rain. Flooding not only causes delays but also increases the number of potholes due to the hydraulic action of passing vehicles. However, there is a WC policy NOT to clear drains that are not in flood areas. This is false logic as can be seen by the number of road drain covers which are completely blocked resulting in the water that should be draining going down the road to the lowest point, where it does cause flooding. I have tried reporting blocked drains and been rebuffed because of this policy. A proper view must be taken of clearing all drains. This winter the A30 Wilton road has had several deep floods within a short section of road – difficult to see, but the root cause is probably blocked drains?	Wiltshire Council does not have such a policy and drains on A roads and hot spots are cleaned on a maintenance schedule. When there are blockages, the council responds on a priority basis so where there is internal flooding to property or ponding on a high-speed road this will take precedence. Wiltshire Council has significantly increased the funding for drainage clearance enabling a wider reactive approach to drainage issues using an additional high pressure jetting tanker. This funding has now been baked into revenue budgets enabling us to maintain an improved reactive and maintenance service.	
2	Flooding: in light of recent flooding in Salisbury, can we have a firm commitment from Wiltshire Highways to implement the flooding mitigation works at the junction between Milford Mill Road and Queen Manor Road?	Work has been undertaken at this location to mitigate some of the issues by clearing the ditch line. There is an undertaking to carry out further substantive works on neighbouring land with permission of the landowners that should improve the discharge of water into the river. it should, however, be appreciated that the relatively low carriageway levels at the location means that, while there will be an improvement, it will not prevent the road from flooding when river and groundwater levels are high.	

# Air Quality/Climate Strategy

	Question	Answer	Progress
1	Given Salisbury's local issues such as traffic congestion, poor air quality, & the sensitive nature of the city's landscape setting, as well as the very significant broader issues of climate change, biodiversity loss & increasingly poor (public) health, why have we yet to see any focus on developing dedicated, well-designed & cost effective multifunctional networks & corridors for more sustainable and active modes of travel such as walking & cycling as part of the community's green infrastructure, in line with Wiltshire's Green & Blue Infrastructure Strategy which was adopted in 2022 as a sister document to the Wiltshire's Climate Strategy?	The council has produced and consulted on a Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan (LCWIP) for Salisbury as well as a Countywide LCWIP which covers the more rural areas of the county. It is the intention that the draft plans and consultation reports will be presented to the Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport, Street Scene and Flooding by the end of the current financial year. Salisbury has had a huge amount of investment and we are committed to make interventions where we can, to add value using a partnership approach and supporting opportunities available through funding. New developments will also need to make sure that Active Travel is considered as part of the development.	
2	Could I please ask 'What monitoring of the air pollution being generated by the ring road (Churchill Way) is done beside the Greencroft where the playground is?	Air Quality Monitoring in this location was discontinued in 2010 when the data showed no exceedances anywhere near approaching legal objectives for air quality.	

#### Salisbury Transportation Strategy/ Active Travel

	Question	Answer	Progress
1	City bus stops – following the loss of the bus	The decision to move the	
	station new locations were agreed for the bus	PR7 stop to the Tesco stop	
	terminals in the City. The PR7 is a well-used bus	was made by Salisbury	
	which used to pick up at one of the many stops in	Reds to reduce the	
	Endless St. Last year this stop was moved to in	amount of time it takes for	
	front of Tesco in Castle St (not sure if this was to	buses to transfer from one	
	do with Fisherton St going one way). This is a	service to another in the	
	very poor site for bus stops due to the taxi rank,	City Centre (running via	
	the number of bus stops and traffic parked across	Endless Street adds a extra	
	the road. Busses frequently hold on these stops	3 mins per trip, which	
	as they are the terminus for the route. As a result	soon adds up and can	
	there are frequent holdups – especially when	ultimately make the	
	other buses are trying to get past. On the	difference to the number	
	pavement the problem is just as bad with	of buses required).	
	passengers for different buses crowded together		

	on the pavement at the same time as other pedestrians are trying to get through. There is no passenger queuing system which is a particular problem for those with mobility issues and pushchairs etc. At school time the students just push on regardless. This situation is unworkable and unacceptable. There is plenty of room in Endless St. Please can we have a proper review of the City bus stops – in particular for the PR7?	It is agreed however that there is a need to review the location of bus stops in the City Centre once Fisherton Street re-opens and also reconsider the way that buses interwork between services in the City Centre - working with the bus company on this during the Spring.	
2	A key aim of the Salisbury Transport Strategy [STS] is to achieve modal shift from private vehicles towards more sustainable modes of transport, such as walking and cycling [STS July 2018, para 2.38]. Fifteen pedestrian and cycle schemes were proposed to link Salisbury to new housing developments at Wilton, Fugglestone, Netherhampton & Harnham etc [STS July 2018 Table 4-2 and Figure 4-1 - this figure is copied in below for ease of reference]. So far none of these have been delivered and yet housing developments have proceeded apace. Does Wiltshire Council still intend to link all new housing developments around Salisbury to the city centre via safe cycling routes and when can we expect to see any progress on these routes? If there is no longer an intention to provide safe cycling routes how is it intended to achieve the shift to sustainable transport modes proposed in the Salisbury Transport Strategy?	The council still intends to link Salisbury to new housing developments and development work is ongoing to do that. However, a number of the proposed routes are on third party land and permissions have to be sought. In some cases, the routes are partly to be provided by developers who have yet to build out their sites. In addition, not all of the routes have sufficient funding to develop and implement them and council is endeavouring to secure grant funding to deliver them.	
3	In answer to a question submitted to Wiltshire Council's Cabinet on 2nd May 2023 we were told that the accumulated value of Section 106 monies collected to contribute to the Salisbury Transport Strategy was £7,045,970. It would seem that approximately £2.5 million of this is earmarked for the Harnham Gyratory proposals (see https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/highways/salisbury- junctions). How is it intended to allocate the remaining amount (approx. £4.5 million)?	The Salisbury TransportPlan identifies a range ofrequired outcomes tosupport growth and setsout 4 broad themesencompassing a range ofprojects and interventions.Those themes are:- Smarter choices –encouraging use ofsustainable modes andchanges in travel habits;- Pedestrian and cyclenetwork improvements;- Public transport networkimprovements; and- Highways Schemes.Estimated costs areattributed to each theme.Whilst individual scheme	

4	A year has now passed since the Wiltshire-wide	costs and details will have changed to some degree, it is proposed to adhere to the proportional value of each theme and apply it to the sum available. More work is being carried out to determine which schemes carry the best value and highest priority. The Council's Head of Sustainable Transport will update the Area Board in due course regarding their previous discussions on this issue.
4	A year has now passed since the Wiltshire-wide and Salisbury Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plans were consulted on. Volunteers from the community put in many hours to comment in detail on these plans, but so far they remain in draft form and a consultation report has not been issued. Please could you let us know what revisions to the Plans will be made as a result of the consultations, what is the timetable for the adoption of revised Plans, when will the consultation report be issued and what steps are being taken to obtain funding for implementing the Plans?	The Salisbury and Countywide LCWIPs are currently being updated following the representations made during the public consultation with the intention that the draft plan and consultation report will be presented to the Cabinet Member for Highways, Transport, Street Scene and Flooding by the end of the current financial year. In terms of funding, the council plans to allocate Salisbury Transport Strategy S106 funding to develop and implement priority elements of the LCWIP infrastructure and will also bid for funding from Active Travel England's Active Travel Fund when the next funding tranche is launched.
5	We are pleased to see the progress that has been made in constructing the River Park even though the work has resulted in the closure of National Cycle Network (NCN) route 24 to both pedestrians and cyclists where it passes under the ring road near Waitrose. No suitable alternative route was identified for cyclists. The latest phase of the river park scheme involves the coach park where the cycle route around the edge has now closed. Plans for a replacement cycleway on the	The original intention was to get the cycle path open on the west side of the river prior to starting the coach park works, but this is one of the elements of work that has had to go back in the programme due to the challenging weather over the past

	west side of the river have been discussed, but no detailed design has been available so far. On 28 March 2023, I wrote to the River Park project manager seeking assurance that the new cycleway on the west side of the river would be open before the closure of the coach park. His reply the same day was "The cycle path will be finished on the west side of the river prior to the coach park works starting." Sadly, this is not the case. Please could you let us know what are the detailed plans for the replacement cycleway, and a timetable for both implementation and reopening of NCN route 24?	year. Work has started on the cycleway, and we hope to have it open by the end of March, although initially it may be opened as a shared use path for the first month whilst other footpaths are completed.
6	Can we please have an update on the use of STS funding to address the list of projects identifies through LHFIG and presented to the AB on 28th November?	Please refer to the response to question 3 above.
7	Costings for electric buses, concerns about why we are paying money for a commercial operator?	We have made a bid to the government's ZEBRA 2 (Zero Emission Bus Regional Areas) fund for 23 ZEBs in Salisbury; the outcome of the bid will be known in March 2024. If successful, WC have agreed a contribution towards the scheme which is funded via The Salisbury Transport Strategy, which commits to investing in electric buses in the city. The bid provides an opportunity to bring ZEBs to Salisbury which the bus operator would not be able to afford at the current time, without the grant funding being offered from Department for Transport (DfT) and WC. The potential benefits to Salisbury are numerous, particularly in terms of improving air quality and carbon emissions. In terms of context, in March 2021, the government published 'Bus Back Better', its national bus strategy for England, which set out a

vision for the future of	
buses across the country.	
The strategy set out a	
roadmap to transition	
towards a fully zero	
emission bus fleet. Since	
then, an estimated 4,200	
zero emission buses (ZEBs)	
have been funded across	
the United Kingdom in a	
partnership approach	
between various bus	
operators, the Department	
for Transport and local	
authorities. This supports	
the Government's long- term goal to decarbonise	
the whole bus fleet.	
the whole bus neet.	
The ZEBRA scheme	
supports the rollout	
of ZEBs, the development of ZEB technology and	
provided learning on the	
challenges of	
introducing ZEBs and their	
supporting infrastructure.	
Government have clearly	
set out to us the	
importance of local	
transport authorities	
(LTAs) having the right	
knowledge and experience	
to deliver	
large ZEB projects and the	
importance of partnership	
working between LTAs and	
bus operators. They have	
also highlighted the	
additional challenges	
faced by rural LTAs seeking	
to introduce ZEBs, both	
technological and	
financial. In order to	
decarbonise the whole bus	
fleet, government are	
asking that all LTAs and all	
bus operators take the	
first step towards	
decarbonising buses. This	
is why we have submitted	
this ZEBRA partnership bid	
with the bus operator,	
Salisbury Reds.	

### A36 Southampton Road

	Question	Answer	Progress
1	When are the Highways Committee going to persuade the Highways Agency that Southampton Rd between the College and the 'TESCO' roundabouts should be returned to 2 lanes to speed up traffic in and out of the city. At the same time, make the College roundabout a conventional roundabout, not with 2 lanes which go straight on, to allow easier access to the A36 rather than contributing to traffic on the Exeter Street and Harnham roundabouts.	National Highways (formerly Highways Agency) are currently developing proposals to improve capacity on the A36 Southampton Road. As such any changes to the layout would be for them to consider as part of this work.	Update requested for 6 <sup>th</sup> June Area Board meeting
2	The collage roundabout is a huge traffic mess in Salisbury particularly at peak times and weekend traffic. I suspect that many consultations have taken place concerning this traffic mess. As a local resident (40 years) and car driver I have often thought about why is the traffic so bad at this roundabout. The main problem appears to be the volume of traffic wanting to go down the Southampton Road (A36). I have observed that that the traffic suddenly moves quite freely once past Tollgate road. The main problem appears to be the unnecessary volume of traffic emerging from Tollgate road onto Southampton road, which cause the roundabout traffic to slow and stop with people being kind and letting traffic emerge from Tollgate road. So why is the volume of traffic "unnecessary", this is because the locals (including me) know that if the traffic is heavy down Churchill way towards the College roundabout, we come off the A36 at Kelsey hill road and filter down Rampart road and onto Tollgate road. Rampart road is dangerous with many	Please refer to the Southampton Rd Study	Update requested for 6 <sup>th</sup> June Area Board meeting

	<ul> <li>parked cars, so really it is one way traffic at best.</li> <li>My suggestion would be to make Rampart road one way for north traffic only from St Martin's Church Street to Milford Street. This would prevent the "cut-through" traffic and significantly reduce the emerging traffic from Tollgate road onto the A36. The resulting effect should improve the traffic flow.</li> <li>1) Would Wiltshire highways consider a setting up a trial to test this? The alternative solution, and I suspect more expensive, would be to install traffic lights at the Tollgate road emerging traffic.</li> <li>2) Would Highways Wiltshire consider installing traffic lights at this junction.</li> </ul>		
3	Tollgate Road junction with Southampton Road: can Wiltshire Highways comment on the proposal to close the Tollgate Road exit onto Southampton Road? Would this be viable and if so, can this be introduced to help ease congestion on Southampton Road?	Please refer to the Southampton Rd Study	Update requested for 6 <sup>th</sup> June Area Board meeting

# Harnham Gyratory and Exeter St Roundabout improvement scheme

	Question	Answer	Progress
1	Harnham roundabout -		The timescales to
	rather than produce an		bring the scheme
	expensive scheme -		forward to site are
	why not move the bus		currently being
	lane on the Downton		reviewed. Contractor
	Road back to the bus	Shortening the bus lane as suggested would	procurement options
	stop so that more cars	marginally increase vehicle stacking capacity on this	are being considered,
	can go through this	arm of the junction but would make little difference	and local highway
	junction when the	in increasing the overall traffic capacity at Harnham	network capacity
	lights change and the	Gyratory. Shortening the bus lane would also be	pressures could
	road will be safer	contrary to the Council's Bus Service Improvement	influence when the
	because currently	Plan. As such the Council would not support this	works can come
	many cars cut in and	suggestion.	forward.
	there will also be more		

2	room for overtaking stationary vehicles on the other side Exeter Street roundabout - rather than produce an expensive scheme - please cut down all the bushes completely but leave the trees and flower beds so that traffic (especially buses) can see across the roundabout and join the roundabout more quickly, more safely and enable decisions re which exit to take (instead of blocking the roundabout)	Removing the shrubs as suggested will make no difference to traffic capacity at the roundabout. Removal of the shrubs would also be contrary to the Council's carbon reduction and biodiversity net gain ambitions. As such the Council would not support this suggestion.	Once we get to site we would anticipate a notional 9-12 month construction period.
3	What are your current proposals for both the Exeter and Gyratory roundabouts and the connection between. It should be noted this is the major emergency route to A & E from the North and serving all of S. Wiltshire and beyond?	The council's current proposals can be viewed on its website here https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/article/4007/Salisbury- junction-improvements However, it is noted that the originator of this comment is well aware of the Council's proposals as they have been in correspondence with the Council since they were made public at a presentation given to Salisbury City Council in September 2023.	
4	The Transport Evidence Base (Atkins Report May 2023) that underpins the Local Plan states that the Harnham Gyratory is currently at 85% capacity and the additional housing associated with planned developments in Harnham, Britford and the already underway development in Netherhampton Road, would increase this figure to 97%. This means that the Gyratory will be over- capacity, and hence not be able to cope	The Council intends to carry out capacity improvements at Harnham Gyratory and Exeter street roundabouts. Full and comprehensive details can be found on the website at <u>Salisbury junction</u> improvements - Wiltshire Council	

	1
with the additional	
traffic associated with	
these housing	
developments.	
The early plans seen	
before Christmas for	
road improvements to	
the Harnham Gyratory	
and the Exeter Street	
Roundabout (known as	
the "Salisbury	
Transport Strategy	
Refresh") will not	
deliver the	
improvements	
necessary to	
accommodate the	
increase traffic	
resultant from the	
housing developments.	
This was confirmed in	
an email from Wiltshire	
Council to Cllr Annie	
Riddle. Moreover,	
these improvement are	
not funded and only	
covered the period to	
2026 and not the full	
Local Plan period to	
2038.	
So, please can	
Wiltshire Council	
confirm whether there	
are any funded plans	
to address the	
projected 97% over	
capacity of the	
Harnham Gyratory in	
order to accommodate	
the housing	
developments planned	
for Harnham, Britford	
and Netherhampton	
Road?	

### **Fisherton Gateway**

	Question	Answer	Progress
1	New Paving in Fisherton	Our intention was to provide natural	
	Street - The Salisbury Civic	stone paving materials, if we could	
	Society would like to ask why	afford it. However, when the	
	the material for new	construction price was submitted we	
	pavements as part of the	had to make some difficult value based	
	Fisherton Gateway project	engineering decisions to stay within	

has been changed from natural York stone, as proposed in February 2023, to concrete, as is now evident from the work already carried out. It would also like to ask why, if concrete had to be used for cost reasons for this very important feature of the work, there was no consultation on the type and colour of the slabs, given the great range available of nonnatural stone paving. Something more suited to the original aspirations of this project could surely have been found.

the budget. The funding was awarded in 2021 and since then we've had significant inflation, but no adjustment in the funding provided. We could either use cheaper materials or do less, and we chose the former.

The paving materials is the biggest cost item for the project. The alternative that has been selected, AG's plaza range, is a third of the cost of natural stone, which represents a significant saving. But we didn't just look at cost during the value engineering exercise; we also considered appearance, lead in times and carbon impacts.

The attached materials palette shows the natural stone at the bottom and the AG paving at the top. We believe it's a good match across all three materials, although we acknowledge that the finish will be more uniform without the variability found in natural stone. The AG paving has a much shorter lead in time of 6-8 weeks, compared to the 12-14 weeks for natural stone. This enabled an earlier start on site. It also offers 70% carbon savings over other equivalent paving materials.

The AG paving was shown at the Meet the Contractor event that was held before construction commenced. Once the project is finished with the full materials palette, buildout, raised tables, street furniture and soft landscaping the effect will be softened. Over time the paving will also weather and look more natural. Our aim was to bring out the unique and independent character of Fisherton Street. This different yet complimentary materials palette to what is used elsewhere in the city centre should reinforce that.

I can further confirm that the same materials palette will be continued in South Western Road and at the Station Forecourt. It is in fact not a concrete product, but a composite product consisting of concrete at the bottom with natural stone on top. So the concrete side would be hidden and the natural stone side would be visible.

		We have tried to adhere to the	
		materials palette shared during the	
		public consultation phase as far as	
		possible. We did caveat it by saying "It	
		should be noted that the final selection	
		of materials and street furniture will be	
		based on the budget available and will	
		be undertaken in consultation with key	
		stakeholders who will also be	
		responsible for the ongoing	
		maintenance." We therefore consulted	
		the City Council as part pf the value	
		engineering exercise. Unfortunately	
		the programme did not allow for more	
		extensive consultation. We had to	
		make a decision in a timely manner so	
		that the order could be placed and the	
		materials delivered to site when	
		needed.	
		If they raise the issue of grouting, this	
		<ul><li>is our response:</li><li>We are looking into options for</li></ul>	
		<b>-</b> .	
		improving the grouting. The grouting is	
		not complete yet and some remedial work is ongoing.	
		• The natural grout colour, FJM Eco	
		Natural, was selected to match the	
		entire materials palette that ranges	
		from buff coloured to grey and white.	
		The attached photo shows an area that	
		is finished where the grout blends well	
		with the buff coloured paving slabs and	
		the granite kerbs.	
		<ul> <li>In the photos that the civic society</li> </ul>	
		shared the grout does look dark. This is	
		because it hasn't cured yet; the colder	
		temperatures has delayed curing. The	
		finished product should look like the	
		attached photo.	
		• The civic society is of course	
		welcome to suggest a grout that we	
		could consider for use in South	
		Western Road and the forecourt. This	
		would have to blend in with the full	
		materials palette and have the same	
		carbon saving credentials as the	
		product currently specified - Flowable	
		Jointing Mortar FJM Eco Smooth -	
		Larsen Building Products.	
2	When the pavement	The carriageway will be wide enough	
	widening scheme in	to support two way working and	
	Fisherton Street is complete,	loading activities. Most of the loading	
	will the remaining	activities along Fisherton Street are	
	carriageway be wide enough	undertaken by motorists stopping on	
1	to both support two-way	,	

don't cause an obstruction of the highway. Additionally, loading bays will be made available in the vicinity of number 33 Fisherton Street, between the Malthouse Lane and Summerlock Approach junctions. Details of the scheme including an overview drawing can be found at: <u>https://www.wiltshire.gov.uk/salisbury- traffic-managemen</u> t
---

# <u>My Wilts</u>

	Question	Answer	Progress
1	Question MyWilts – I have been using the WC reporting system since it started and have provided feedback. Highways reporting has proved problematic as the reports are CLOSED by passing them on – presumably to someone who is supposed to deal with it. Nothing happens and the report has to be	Answer The Council acknowledges that the performance of the MyWilts App is below what we would aspire to. Improvements went live before Christmas on the feedback loop and will continue through this year to provide more significant improvements by the end of August. We encourage residents to continue	Progress
	and the report has to be repeated. I have wasted quite some time queuing on the telephone trying to get through to Customer Services (who are excellent) because of the faulty way the reporting is set up. Customer Services tried to help by giving me a number for Highways – unfortunately Highways had diverted their number back to Customer	encourage residents to continue to use the My Wilts app, as it's the fastest way to raise an issue/defect and for the item to be recorded to be assessed. The team will discuss adding the comments section back to the bottom of the app.	
	Services so I had to wait another 15 minutes to ask Customer Services to deal with the problem (in all it took 45 minutes which, while a waste of my time, unnecessarily blocked the Customer Services number).		

Other areas of WC take action
then put the action on the
report before closing it. Having
an 'open loop' reporting system
is doomed to failure because
there is never any check to see if
the problem has been dealt
with. MyWilts has also been
'updated' to remove the
opportunity for the report to be
updated by the reporter – this
dialogue did prove very useful
so why was it removed? I would
be happy to include my mobile
number in the report in case
clarification was required. Will
you please fix these problems
with MyWilts?